

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

All

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,748	06/21/2001	Shoichi Matsuo	JP920000121US1 5920	
75	7590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER	
Jeanine S. Ray-Yarletts IBM Corporation T81/503 PO Box 12195 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			GUILL, RUSSELL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2123	
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/886,748	MATSUO, SHOICHI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Russell L. Guill	2123			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		3			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2005.	•			
, ,	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>Claims 1 − 6, 8 − 10, 12, 14, 16 − 17,</u>	19, and 22 - 23 is/are pending in	the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>Claims 1 − 6, 8 − 10, 12, 14, 16 − 17,</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) Claims 1 - 6, 8 - 10, 12, 14, 16 - 17, 19, and 22 - 23 is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2001 is/are: a)					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	w (PTO 413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal l	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2123

## DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to an Amendment filed October 11, 2005. A summary of the current status of the claims is: Claim 12 is the original claim. Claims 1 - 6, 8 - 10, 14, 16, 17, 19 and 22 - 23 have been amended. Claims 7, 11, 13, 15, 18, 20, and 21 were cancelled. Claims 1 - 6, 8 - 10, 12, 14, 16 - 17, 19, and 22 - 23 have been examined. Claims 1 - 6, 8 - 10, 12, 14, 16 - 17, 19, and 22 - 23 have been rejected.

## Response to Remarks

- 2. Regarding claims 1, 5, 17, 19, 22 and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph. The Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. Accordingly, the rejections are withdrawn.
- 3. Regarding claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, first paragraph. The Applicant's amendments have overcome the rejection, and accordingly, the rejection is withdrawn.
- 4. Regarding claims 1, 5, 17, 19, 22 and 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C § 112, second paragraph. The Applicant's arguments and amendments have been fully considered and are persuasive. Accordingly, the rejections are withdrawn.
- 5. Regarding claims 1 6, 8 10, 12, 14, 16 17, 19, and 22 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103:
  - **5.1.** The Applicant argues that:
    - 5.1.1. All the rejections are based on references by Goodman and/or Eidahl, and
    - 5.1.2. these references are ordinary programming texts, and
    - **5.1.3.** while the references may discuss generalities tenuously regarding aspects of individual elements of the Applicant's claims, the references have no specific teachings that are germane to solving the problems addressed by the Applicant's invention.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

**5.2.** The Examiner respectfully replies:

**5.2.1.** The references by Goodman and/or Eidahl are directly applicable to the claims, as discussed below.

- 5.2.2. The art of Hansen is directed to a software tool that configures devices to be included in a computer network (column 1, lines 30 37), including a graphical system builder tool (figure 4) and a script that includes programmed executable instructions (column 3, lines 35 57).
- **5.2.3.** The art of Eidahl is directed to building a system, including a using a graphical system builder (page 79, figure 3.14).
- **5.2.4.** The art of Hansen and the art of Eidahl are analogous art because they both include the problem area of using a graphical system builder tool.
- 5.2.5. The art of Goodman is directed to building web pages using dynamic HTML scripts, which are programming scripts included in a web page to provide processing in the web page (pages 11 12, section ECMA Script).
- 5.2.6. The art of Goodman and the art of Hansen are analogous art because they both include the problem of preparing scripts of programmed executable instructions.

## Claim Objections

6. Claims 1, 5, 17, 19, 22 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: The final limitation in the claim refers to "said macro function." The term "a macro function" is defined in the preceding limitation, but both limitations are included in a group where only one of the limitations is

Art Unit: 2123

required. Accordingly, the last limitation cannot be selected individually because it depends on the preceding limitation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7.1. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Both claims recite in the first limitation, "said system". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For the purpose of claim examination, the phrase is interpreted as "a system". Correction or amendment is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

8. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

9. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim appears to be directed to a simple listing of functional material. The Examiner suggests amending the preamble to be similar to, "A computer-readable recording media recording a program for causing a computer to generate a configuration file, the program comprising."

Art Unit: 2123

Page 5

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless:

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 11. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hansen (U.S. Patent 6,772,204).
  - 11.1. Hansen teaches means for providing components of a system (*figure 4; and column 11, lines* 63 67; and column 12, lines 1 7).
  - 11.2. Hansen teaches means for displaying said components and relations between said components and editing an arrangement of said components (*figure 4*; and column 10, lines 14 22).
  - 11.3. Hansen teaches means for generating or inputting and displaying properties of said components (*figure 4; and figure 5; and figure 6; and column 7, lines 24 28; and column 2, lines 28 65*).
  - 11.4. Hansen teaches means for receiving said properties that are generated or input as attribute data of said components and automatically generating a configuration file of the system (*column 3, lines 50 58*).
  - 11.5. Hansen teaches that in the means for automatically generating the configuration file, replacing a shadow property included in the configuration file template with a property specific to

Art Unit: 2123

the system included in the attribute data (<u>column 3, lines 37 - 58, especially lines 50 - 58; and column</u>

14, lines 34 - 50).

**11.6.** Please note that the limitation of automatically generating a configuration file only requires one of a plurality of steps.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

**12.** The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 13. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen (U.S. Patent 6,772,204) in view of Eidahl (Eidahl, Loren D.; "Platinum Edition Using Visual Basic 6", 1999, Que Corporation).
  - 13.1. Hansen teaches in response to a specification of a component provided by a system configuration editor, generating said component in a drawing screen of said system configuration editor (figure 4; and column 11, lines 63 67; and column 12, lines 1 7).
  - 13.2. Hansen teaches associating a plurality of components by responding to an operation that generates a connecting line that associates a component with any other component (*figure 4; and column 12, lines 26 45*).
  - 13.3. Hansen teaches recording attribute data that is input as a property of said component (*figure* 5; and column 14, lines 34 50).

Art Unit: 2123

13.4. Hansen teaches automatically generating a configuration file of a system from attribute data and a configuration file template (*figure 1B, elements 12, 14, 18, 20, and 22; and column 5, lines 9 - 67;* and column 6, lines 1 - 25).

- 13.5. Hansen teaches that in the step of automatically generating the configuration file, replacing a shadow property included in the configuration file template with a property specific to the system included in the attribute data (*column 3, lines 37 58, especially lines 50 58; and column 14, lines 34 50*).
- 13.6. Hansen teaches recursively expanding a macro function included in a configuration file template, and replacing a property specified in the macro function with a property specific to the system (*figure 2E*; and *figure 1B*, elements 12, 18, 20, and 14; and column 8, lines 37 44; and column 3, lines 37 58, especially lines 50 58; and column 14, lines 34 50).
- 13.7. Hansen teaches expanding recursively according to a macro control statement included in the configuration file template, and using a plurality of properties specific to said system to replace at least one of: the shadow property and the property specified in the macro function (*figure 2E; and figure 1B, elements 12, 18, 20, and 14; and column 8, lines 37 44; and column 3, lines 37 58, especially lines 50 58; and column 14, lines 34 50)*.
- 13.8. Hansen does not specifically teach associating a plurality of components by one of the steps of: including a component in any other component, superposing said component on any other component, and responding to an operation that generates a connecting line that associates a component and any other component.
- **13.9.** Please note that the limitation of automatically generating a configuration file only requires one of a plurality of steps.

Art Unit: 2123

Page 8

13.10. Eidahl teaches associating a plurality of components by including a component in any other component (page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"; a control component was associated with other components by including the components in a frame component).

- 13.11. Eidahl teaches associating a plurality of components by superposing a component on any other component (page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"; a control component was associated with other components by superposing the components on a frame component).
- 13.12. The motivation to combine the art of Eidahl with the art of Hansen would have been the knowledge of the ordinary artisan that the features recited in Eidahl (i.e., that a container control component can hold other control components within its borders, and the contained components are treated as part of the container component (*page 168, first paragraph*)), would provide faster development of a system by eliminating explicit program code to make a component part of another component.
- **13.13.** Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Eidahl with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.
- 14. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen and Eidahl, in view of Contreras (U.S. Patent Number 6,823,299).
  - 14.1. Regarding claim 2:
  - 14.2. Hansen teaches inputting default data to a part of attribute data of a component (*column 7*, *lines 24 27*).
  - 14.3. Hansen does not specifically teach inputting default data to a part of attribute data a component, wherein said default data includes an influence area of said component and a reference point of said component.

Art Unit: 2123

14.4. Contreras teaches default data includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component (*column 7, lines 1 – 5; and column 8, lines 41 - 45*).

- 14.4.1. Regarding (*column 7, lines 1 5; and column 8, lines 41 45*); it would have been obvious to have default data that includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component.
- 14.5. The motivation to use the art of Contreras with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Contreras that the invention could be used to automatically position objects to help create designs (column 7, lines 26 28).
- **14.6.** Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Contreras with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.
- 14.7. Regarding claim 3:
- 14.8. Hansen does not specifically teach if all or part of component is included within an influence area of any other component, then a part of attribute data of said component inherits the attribute data of said other component.
- 14.9. Eidahl teaches if all or part of component is included within an influence area of any other component, then a part of attribute data of said component inherits the attribute data of said other component (page 64, the paragraph that starts with, "In addition, setting the form's . . . ").
- **15.** Claim **4** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen and Eidahl, in view of Chiles (U.S. Patent 6,167,567).
  - 15.1. Hansen does not specifically teach referring to information about a product version used in the system, and selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version.
  - 15.2. Chiles teaches referring to information about a product version used in the system, and selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version (*column 2*, *lines 41 56*).

Art Unit: 2123

**15.3.** The motivation to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Chiles of correctly updating software (*column 3, lines 20 – 21*).

- **15.4.** Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.
- 16. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen, in view of Eidahl, further in view of Contreras.
  - **16.1.** Hansen teaches means for generating default data as a part of attribute data of a component (*column 7, lines 24 27*).
  - 16.2. Hansen teaches means for associating a plurality of components by generating a connecting line that associates a component with any other component (*figure 4*; and column 12, lines 26 45).
  - 16.3. Hansen does not specifically teach means for generating default data as a part of attribute data a component, wherein said default data includes an influence area of said component and a reference point of said component.
  - 16.4. Hansen does not specifically teach means for associating a plurality of components <u>by one of</u> the steps of: including said component in any other component, superposing said component on any <u>other component</u>, and by generating a connecting line that associates said component with any other component, <u>wherein if said component is included within an influence area of any other component</u>, then attribute data of said component inherits attribute data of said other component.
  - 16.5. Eidahl teaches means for associating a plurality of components by including a component in any other component (page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"; a control component was associated with other components by including the components in a frame component).

Art Unit: 2123

16.6. Eidahl teaches means for associating a plurality of components by superposing a component on any other component (page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"; a control component was associated with other components by superposing the components on a frame component).

- 16.7. Eidahl teaches if a component is included within an influence area of any other component, then attribute data of the component inherits attribute data of the other component (page 64, the paragraph that starts with, "In addition, setting the form's . . . ").
- 16.8. Contreras teaches that default data includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component (*column 7, lines 1 5; and column 8, lines 41 45*).
  - 16.8.1. Regarding (*column 7, lines 1 5; and column 8, lines 41 45*); it would have been obvious to have default data that includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component.
- 16.9. The motivation to use the art of Contreras with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Contreras that the invention could be used to automatically position objects to help create designs (column 7, lines 26 28).
- 16.10. The motivation to combine the art of Eidahl with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Eidahl that a container control component can hold other control components within its borders, and the contained components are treated as part of the container component (*page 168, first paragraph*). Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Eidahl and Contreras with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.
- 17. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of Chiles.

Art Unit: 2123

17.1. Hansen does not specifically teach means for referring to information about a product version used in the system, and selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version.

- 17.2. Chiles teaches referring to information about a product version used in the system, and selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version (*column 2*, *lines 41 56*).
- 17.3. The motivation to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Chiles of correctly updating software (*column 3, lines 20 21*).
- 17.4. Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.
- 18. Claims 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen in view of Eidahl.
  - 18.1. Regarding claim 9:
  - 18.2. Hansen teaches most of the limitations of claim 9 as described in claim 1 above.
  - 18.3. Hansen does not specifically teach that if a component is included within an influence area of any other component, then inheriting attribute data of said other component by attribute data of said component.
  - **18.4.** Hansen does not specifically teach associating a plurality of components by including a component in any other component.
  - 18.5. Hansen does not specifically teach associating a plurality of components by superposing a component on any other component.

Art Unit: 2123

18.6. Eidahl teaches if a component is included within an influence area of any other component, then inheriting attribute data of said other component by attribute data of said component (page 64, the paragraph that starts with, "In addition, setting the form's . . . ").

- 18.7. Eidahl teaches associating a plurality of components by including a component in any other component (<u>page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"</u>; a control component was associated with other components by including the components in a frame component).
- 18.8. Eidahl teaches associating a plurality of components by superposing a component on any other component (page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"; a control component was associated with other components by superposing the components on a frame component).
- 18.9. The motivation to combine the art of Eidahl with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Eidahl that a container control component can hold other control components within its borders, and the contained components are treated as part of the container component (*page 168, first paragraph*).
- **18.10.** Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Eidahl with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.
- 18.11. Regarding claim 12:
- **18.12.** Hansen does not specifically teach inputting a property, wherein properties that can be input are restricted to a part of properties that can be associated with the component.
- 18.13. Eidahl teaches inputting a property, wherein properties that can be input are restricted to a part of properties that can be associated with the component (page 21, paragraph 4 that starts with the phrase, "Properties determine how . . .").
- 19. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen and Eidahl, in view of Contreras.

Art Unit: 2123

19.1. Hansen teaches inputting default data to a part of attribute data of a component (*column 7*, *lines 24 - 27*).

- 19.2. Hansen does not specifically teach inputting default data to a part of attribute data a component, wherein said default data includes an influence area of said component and a reference point of said component.
- 19.3. Contreras teaches default data includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component (*column 7, lines 1 5; and column 8, lines 41 45*).
  - 19.3.1. Regarding (*column 7, lines 1 5; and column 8, lines 41 45*); it would have been obvious to have default data that includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component.
- 19.4. The motivation to use the art of Contreras with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Contreras that the invention could be used to automatically position objects to help create designs (column 7, lines 26 28). Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Contreras with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.
- 20. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen (U.S. Patent 6,772,204) in view of Eidahl (Eidahl, Loren D.; "Platinum Edition Using Visual Basic 6", 1999, Que Corporation), further in view of Contreras (U.S. Patent Number 6,823,299).
  - 20.1. Regarding claim 14:
  - 20.2. Hansen teaches a means for providing components of a system (*figure 4; and column 11, lines* 63 67; and column 12, lines 1 7).
  - 20.3. Hansen teaches a means for displaying the components and relations between the components and editing an arrangement of the components (*figure 4*; and column 10, lines 14 22).

Art Unit: 2123

20.4. Hansen teaches a means for inputting and displaying properties of the components (*figure 5*; and column 14, lines 34 - 50).

Page 15

- 20.5. Hansen teaches a means for, in response to the generation of a component, generating default data as a part of attribute data of said component (*column 7*, *lines 24 27*).
- 20.6. Hansen teaches means for associating a plurality of said components by generating a connecting line that associates said component with any other component (*figure 4*; *and column* 12, *lines* 26 45).
- 20.7. Hansen does not specifically teach a means for, in response to the generation of a component, generating default data as a part of attribute data of said component, wherein the default data includes an influence area of said component and a reference point of said component.
- 20.8. Hansen does not specifically teach means for associating a plurality of said components <u>by</u> generating, moving or changing said component, such that said component is included in any other component or said component is superposed on any other component, or by generating a connecting line that associates said component with any other component, wherein if part of said component is included within an influence area of any other component, then attribute data of said component inherits attribute data of said other component.
- 20.9. Eidahl teaches if part of a component is included within an influence area of any other component, then attribute data of the component inherits attribute data of the other component (page 64, the paragraph that starts with, "In addition, setting the form's...").
- 20.10. Eidahl teaches associating a plurality of components by generating, moving or changing said component, such that said component is included in any other component (page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"; a control component was associated with other components by including the components in a frame component).

Art Unit: 2123

20.11. Eidahl teaches associating a plurality of components by generating, moving or changing said component, such that said component is superposed on any other component (page 383, section "Working with Controls in a Frame"; a control component was associated with other components by superposing the components on a frame component).

**20.12.** Contreras teaches that default data includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component (*column 7*, *lines 1 – 5; and column 8*, *lines 41 - 45*).

20.12.1. Regarding (*column 7, lines 1 – 5; and column 8, lines 41 - 45*); it would have been obvious to have default data that includes an influence area of a component and a reference point of a component.

20.13. The motivation to use the art of Contreras with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Contreras that the invention could be used to automatically position objects to help create designs (column 7, lines 26 – 28).

**20.14.** The motivation to combine the art of Eidahl with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Eidahl that a container control component can hold other control components within its borders, and the contained components are treated as part of the container component (*page 168, first paragraph*).

**20.15.** Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Eidahl and Contreras with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed invention.

20.16. Regarding claim 16:

20.17. Hansen does not specifically teach that means for inputting and displaying properties further comprises means for restricting properties that can be input to a part of properties that can be associated with a component.

Art Unit: 2123

20.18. Eidahl teaches that means for inputting and displaying properties further comprises means for restricting properties that can be input to a part of properties that can be associated with a component (page 21, paragraph 4 that starts with the phrase, "Properties determine how...").

- 21. Claims 17, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen (U.S. Patent 6,772,204), in view of Chiles (U.S. Patent 6,167,567).
  - 21.1. Regarding claims 17, 19 and 22:
  - 21.2. Hansen teaches computer implemented methods (Abstract), functions (Abstract; and column 18, 38 40) and means (Abstract).
    - 21.2.1. Regarding (Abstract) and (Abstract; and column 18, 38 40); it would have been obvious that computer implemented methods and program code are functions and means.
  - 21.3. Hansen teaches receiving attribute data of components that comprise the system (*figure 5*; and column 14, lines 34 50).
  - 21.4. Hansen teaches expanding the configuration file template with macro expansion (<u>figure 2E</u>; and figure 1B, elements 12, 18, 20, and 14; and column 8, lines 37 44; and column 3, lines 37 58, especially lines 50 58; and column 14, lines 34 50).
  - 21.5. Hansen teaches that in the step of expanding the configuration file template, replacing a shadow property included in the configuration file template with a property specific to the system included in the attribute data (*column 3, lines 37 58, especially lines 50 58; and column 14, lines 34 50*).
  - 21.6. Please note that the limitation of expanding said configuration file template only requires one of a plurality of steps.

Art Unit: 2123

21.7. Hansen does not specifically teach referring to information about a product version used in the system, and selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version.

- 21.8. Chiles teaches referring to information about a product version used in the system, and selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version (*column* 2, *lines* 41 56).
- **21.9.** The motivation to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Chiles of correctly updating software (*column 3, lines 20 21*).
- **21.10.** Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen to produce the claimed inventions.
- **22.** Claim **23** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hansen, further in view of Chiles.
  - 22.1. Hansen teaches computer readable media (column 18, lines 38 40), computer implemented methods (Abstract), functions (Abstract; and column 18, lines 38 40) and means (Abstract).
    - **22.1.1.** Regarding (**Abstract**) and (**Abstract**; and column 18, lines 38 40); it would have been obvious that computer implemented methods and program code are functions and means.
  - **22.2.** Hansen teaches most of the limitations of the claim as described in claim 22 above, and the differences are reviewed below.
  - 22.3. Hansen teaches a function for, in response to a input of properties of a component, recording the input values as property data of the component (*column 3, lines 37 57; and figure 5; and column* 14, *lines 34 50*).
  - **22.4.** Hansen does not specifically teach a function for referring to information about a product version used in the system.

Art Unit: 2123

**22.5.** Hansen does not specifically teach a function for selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version.

- **22.6.** Chiles teaches a function for referring to information about a product version used in the system ( $column\ 2$ ,  $lines\ 41-56$ ).
- 22.7. Chiles teaches a function for selecting a configuration file template that matches the product version (*column 2, lines 41 56*).
- **22.8.** The motivation to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen is the benefit recited in Chiles of correctly updating software (*column 3, lines 20 21*).
- **22.9.** Therefore, as discussed above, it would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan at the time of invention to use the art of Chiles with the art of Hansen to produce the invention of claim 23.

#### Conclusion

- 23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russ Guill whose telephone number is 571-272-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday 10:00 AM 6:30 PM.
- 24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571-272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group Receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Art Unit: 2123

25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Russ Guill Examiner Art Unit 2123

RG

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125